IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

LAKEITH RAQIB AMIR-SHARIF §
Enterm to to be mine-small

VS.

§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17ev506

DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID §

ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Lakeith Raqib Amir-Sharif, proceeding *pro se*, filed the above-styled petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner challenges a prison disciplinary conviction.

The court previously referred this matter to the Honorable Keith F. Giblin, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and applicable orders of this court. The Magistrate Judge has submitted a Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge recommending the petition be denied.

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge, along with the record and pleadings. Petitioner filed objections to the Report and Recommendation.

The court has conducted a *de novo* review of the objections. After careful consideration, the court is of the opinion the objections are without merit.

ORDER

Accordingly, petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct and the report of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the court. A final judgment shall be entered denying the petition in accordance with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

In addition, the court is of the opinion petitioner is not entitled to a certificate of appealability. An appeal from a judgment denying federal habeas relief may not proceed unless a

judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253. The standard for a certificate of

appealability requires the petitioner to make a substantial showing of the denial of a federal

constitutional right. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84; Elizalde v. Dretke, 362 F.3d 323,

328 (5th Cir. 2004). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner need not demonstrate that he

would prevail on the merits. Rather, he must demonstrate that the issues he raises are subject to

debate among jurists of reason, that a court could resolve the issues raised in a different manner, or

that the questions presented are worthy of encouragement to proceed further. See Slack, 529 U.S.

at 483-84. Any doubt regarding whether to grant a certificate of appealability should be resolved in

favor of the petitioner, and the severity of the penalty imposed may be considered in making this

determination. See Miller v. Johnson, 200 F.3d 274, 280-81 (5th Cir. 2000).

In this case, the petitioner has not shown that the issues raised are subject to debate among

jurists of reason. The factual and legal issues raised by petitioner have been consistently resolved

adversely to his position and the questions presented are not worth of encouragement to proceed

further. As a result, a certificate of appealability shall not issue in this matter.

SIGNED this the 16 day of July, 2018.

Thad Heartfield

United States District Judge